

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 00273 211245Z

53

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 AEC-05 CIAE-00 H-01 INR-07 IO-10 L-02 NSAE-00

OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02

SS-15 TRSE-00 RSC-01 NSC-05 BIB-01 DODE-00 /082 W

----- 108084

O R 211045Z JAN 75 ZFF-4

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 9650

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 0273

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: MBFR: TEXTS OF UK, BELGIAN AND DUTCH PROPOSALS SUBMITTED
AT JANUARY 20 SPC

1. THIS MESSAGE TRANSMITS THE TEXTS OF UK, BELGIAN AND DUTCH
PROPOSALS ON THE EASTERN FREEZE PROPOSAL MADE AT THE JAN 20 SPC
MEETING. DISCUSSION AT THAT MEETING REPORTED SEPTTEL.

2. BEGIN TEXT UK PROPOSAL

THE AD HOC GROUP SHOULD TELL THE EASTERN NEGOTIATORS THAT
AFTER MUCH STUDY, THE ALLIES HAVE CONCLUDED THAT THE EASTERN
PROPOSAL IS NOT ACCEPTABLE. THE ALLIES DO NOT OPPOSE A FREEZE
AS SUCH BUT BELIEVE THAT A FREEZE SHOULD BE COMBINED WITH
AGREEMENT TO REDUCTIONS. ACCORDINGLY THE ALLIES PROPOSE THAT
THE TWO SIDES SHOULD REACH AGREEMENT NOW ON A FREEZE WHICH WOULD
COME INTO EFFECT AS SOON AS AGREEMENT ON PHASE I REDUCTIONS HAS
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 00273 211245Z

BEEN REACHED. THE AGREEMENT WOULD CONTAIN THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS:

A) THE FREEZE WOULD APPLY TO THE TOTAL GROUND FORCE AND TOTAL
AIR FORCE MANPOWER MAINTAINED BY EACH SIDE IN THE REDUCTIONS
AREA AT THE TIME WHEN AGREEMENT WAS REACHED ON PHASE I.

THE LEVELS THUS FROZEN WOULD SUBSEQUENTLY BE LOWERED TO
TAKE ACCOUNT OF REDUCTIONS AGREED IN PHASE I.

B) DATA RELATING TO THESE TOTALS ON EACH SIDE WULD BE INCLUDED
IN THE FREEZE AGREEMENT. FOR THIS PURPOSE EACH SIDE WOULD
COLLECTIVELY PROVIDE THE OTHER WITH FULL INFORMATION ON
THE STRENGTH OF ITS GROUND AND AIR FORCE MANPOWER.

C) THE FREEZE AGREEMENT WOULD BE LIMITED IN TIME(1) TO A FIVE-YEAR
PERIOD. (THE MAXIMUM PERIOD COULD BE EXTENDED BY MUTUAL
CONSENT OF THE TWO SIDES. SUCH MUTUAL CONSENT MIGHT BE
POSSIBLE, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE SECOND PHASE NEGOTIATIONS HAD
MADE SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS AND SHOWED REASONABLE PROMISE OF
A SATISFACTORY CONCLUSION.)

(1) LANGUAGE FROM THIS POINT ON IS TAKEN FROM ADDENDUM DATED
27 SEPTEMBER TO C-M(74)30(REVISED).

END TEXT UK PROPOSAL

3. BEGIN TEXT BELGIAN PROPOSAL (INFORMAL MISSION TRANSLATION):

THE KINGDOM OF BELGIUM, CANADA, THE FRG, LUXEMBOURG, THE
NETHERLANDS, THE U.K. AND THE U.S.,
--DESIRING TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUCCESS OF THE PRESENT NEGOTIATIONS
AND CAREFUL TO ABSTAIN FROM ACTIONS SUSCEPTIBLE OF GOING AGAINST
THAT GOAL,
-- CONSIDERING THAT DURING THE NEGOTIATIONS ON FORCE REDUCTIONS,
IT WOULD BE INOPPORTUNE TO PROCEED TO INCREASES IN FORCES,
--PROCLAIM THEIR WILLINGENESS NOT TO INCREASE THE TOTAL OF OVERALL
GROUND AND AIR MANPOWER WHICH THEY MAINTAIN IN BELGIUM, THE FRG,
LUXEMBOURG, AND THE NETHERLANDS, AS LONG AS THE PRESENT NEGOTIATIONS
FOLLOW THEIR NORMAL COURSE TOWARDS AGREED OBJECTIVES IN CONFORMITY
WITH THE JOINT COMMUNIQUE OF JUNE 28, 1973.

THIS DECISION DOES NOT PREJUDICE THE POSITIONS DEFENDED IN THE
PRESENT NEGOTIATIONS BY THE COUNTRIES CITED ABOVE. END TEXT
OF BELGIAN PROPOSAL.

4. BEGIN TEXT DUTCH PROPOSAL.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 00273 211245Z

WP NON-INCREASE PROPOSAL

NETHERLAND AMENDMENT TO THE US DRAFT GUIDANCE TO THE AD HOC GROUP:

"THE ALLIED NEGOTIATORS ARE AUTHORIZED TO TELL THE
EAST THAT, AFTER MUCH STUDY, THE ALLIES HAVE CONCLUDED
THAT THE EASTERN PROPOSAL IS NOT ACCEPTABLE. THEY SHOULD
STATE THAT THE MAIN BUSINESS OF THE VIENNA TALKS IS TO
NEGOTIATE REDUCTIONS. THE ALLIES DO NOT OPPOSE A FREEZE
AS SUCH. THE ALLIES HAVE NO INTENTION TO INCREASE THEIR
FORCES IN CENTRAL EUROPE WHILE THE NEGOTIATIONS GO ON
IN A CONSTRUCTIVE AND BUSINESSLIKE MANNER AND WHILE THE
EASTERN SIDE IS ACTING LIKEWISE. THE ALLIES BELIEVE, HOWEVER,
THAT A FREEZE SHOULD BE COMBINED WITH AN AGREEMENT ON

REDUCTIONS THE ALLIES HAVE PROPOSED A FREEZE IN CONNECTION
WITH PHASE I REDUCTIONS, AND THEY CONSIDER THAT THIS
COMBINATION OF FREEZE AND REDUCTIONS REPRESENTS A MORE
PRODUCTIVE APPROACH TO THE CENTRAL TASK OF THE NEGOTIATIONS
THAN THE EASTERN APPROACH."

END TEXT DUTCH PROPOSALBRUCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 21 JAN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO00273
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750188/abbrzhrk.tel
Line Count: 117
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 02 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <02 APR 2003 by MartinML>; APPROVED <03 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: TEXTS OF UK, BELGIAN AND DUTCH PROPOSALS SUBMITTED AT JANUARY 20 SPC
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO BONN
LONDON
MBFR VIENNA
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006